

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office. Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A1	ITORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/022,916	12/20/2001		Masaya Nagata		1248-0571P-SP	2105
2292	7590	04/21/2005			EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747					BILGRAMI, ASGHAR H	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				Г	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•				2143	•

DATE MAILED: 04/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/022,916	NAGATA, MASAYA					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Asghar Bilgrami	2143					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period facilities to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuted the period for reply will be period for	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be only within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS five, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 L	December 2001.						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.						
Application Papers	·						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 December 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	)(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not rece	ived.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/21/2004</u> .	6) Other:	art didition phoduoti (F10-102)					
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050331					

Art Unit: 2143

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the 1. basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 6, 9, 15, 16, 20 & 21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Motoyama et al (U.S. 6,662,225 B1).
- 3. As per claims 9, 16 &21 Motoyama disclosed a service management method managing an application program made up of a combination of a plurality of functions by a computer (col.8, lines 18-21), making said computer carry out the steps of: detecting that a specific function is selected or performed (col.12, lines 28 & col.12, lines 35-46); and writing number of detection of said detected function in a management file which records usage conditions of said plurality of the functions (col.12, lines 63-67 & col.13, lines 1-2).
- 4. As per claims 6, 15 & 20 Motoyama-Horvitz disclosed a service management method managing an application program made up of a combination of a plurality of functions by a computer (col.8, lines 18-21), making said computer carry out the steps of: detecting that a specific function is selected or performed (col.12, lines 28 & col.12, lines 35-46); and updating a

Art Unit: 2143

management file which records usage conditions of said plurality of the functions so as to distinguish said detected function from an undetected function (col.15, lines 21-35).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Motoyama et al (U.S. 6,662,225) and Horvitz et al (U.S. 6,262,730 B1).
- 7. As per claims 1, 3, 5, 12, 13, 14, 17 & 18 Motoyama disclosed a service management program for managing a software package made up of a combination of plurality of functions including a function for carrying out processing to transmit/receive information via a network, by a computer (col.8, lines 18-21, col.8, lines 54-67 & col.9, lines 1-21), provided for: receiving usage conditions of the functions of said software package from a device in which said software package is installed via the network (col.12, lines 6-28, col.12, lines 35-46. However Motoyama did not explicitly disclose making said computer carry out processing to transmit a message for

۶.

Application/Control Number: 10/022,916

Art Unit: 2143

promoting use of a function which is indicated in the usage conditions as a function used less than a predetermined number of times, to said device.

In the same field of endeavor Horvitz disclosed making said computer carry out processing to transmit a message for promoting use of a function which is indicated in the usage conditions as a function used less than a predetermined number of times, to said device (col.23, lines 61-67 & col.24, lines 1-23).

It would have been obvious to one in the ordiany skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated promoting use of a function indicated in the usage condition to have be used less than predetermined number of times as taught by Horvitz in the service management program disclosed by Motoyama in order to make the service management system more versatile in term of providing assistance and making it more user friendly.

- 8. As per claim 2 Motoyama-Horvitz disclosed the service management method as set forth in claim 1, wherein: said predetermined number of times is set as not less than twice (Motoyama, col.3, lines 3-7, col.12, lines 63-67 & col.13, lines 1-2).
- 9. As per claims 7 & 10 Motoyama-Horvitz disclosed the service management method as set forth in claim 6, further making said computer carry out the step of: displaying data which includes at least either of data on a used function and data on an unused function described in said management file (Horvitz, col.2, lines 64-67 & col.3, lines 1-12), on an activation screen of said application program (col.23, lines 61-67 & col.24, lines 1-23)

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/022,916

Art Unit: 2143

10. As per claims 8 & 11 Motoyama-Horvitz disclosed the service management method as set forth in claim 6, further making said computer carry out the step of transmitting data which includes at least either of data on a used function and data on an unused function described in

said management file (Motoyama, col.12, lines 6-28 & col.12, lines 35-46).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asghar Bilgrami whose telephone number is 571-272-3907. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on 571-272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Asghar Bilgrami Examiner Art Unit 2143

AB

DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 5